

Towards an IPv6-only network

Philip Smith
PacNOG 9
Suva, Fiji
27th June 2011



Why?

- IPv4 address space depletion
 - IANA pool is empty
 - APNIC now only delegating upto a maximum of one single /22 from remaining IPv4 pool to account holders
- How to continue growing the Internet?
 - IPv6 is the intended replacement for IPv4
 - "Virtually limitless" address space
 - Intentionally not compatible with IPv4
- IPv4 Internet will migrate to IPv6



Traditional migration

- Intended migration plan by IETF and IPv6 developers:
 - Deploy IPv6 across network, from customer to content to upstream
 - Run both IPv6 and IPv4 in parallel
 - Dual stack
 - Applications choose IPv6 before IPv4
 - IPv4 no longer used and we can turn it off



The reality...

- Many applications cannot support IPv6
- Many customer access technologies cannot be upgraded or are very expensive to upgrade
- IPv6 deployment is still not global
- IPv4 run-out means dual stack is no longer the complete answer
- ISPs still have to "tunnel" through providers not supporting IPv6
- Full migration to IPv6 will take longer than expected



What about IPv6 only?

- An IPv6 only network cannot directly talk to any IPv4 only networks
 - Protocol translation required
 - NAT-PT now historical and made obsolete
 - NAT64 replacement is still being developed
- Protocol Translation
 - NAT64 application/device requires knowledge of every application behaviour
 - Otherwise NAT64 device requires upgrade
 - (standard problem with NAT)



What works, what does not?

- NOG experiments in 2008 aimed to explore issues with trying to run an IPv6 only network
 - Separate IPv6-only wireless SSID
- IPv6 to IPv6 works perfectly well!
 - Not withstanding bugs and missing features in end-user devices, infrastructure, services,...
- But IPv6 to IPv4 is at mercy of the Protocol Translation middleware capability



IPv6 to IPv4 protocol translation

- Mapping of IPv6 to IPv4 addresses
 - 1 to 1?
 - How does this fit in an IPv4 /22?
 - 1 IPv4 to many IPv6?
 - How many users per IPv4 address?
 - 65000 ports possible, but these days a typical user needs around 1000 ports or more
 - Public IPv4 addresses required
 - Or private IPv4, but then private to public IPv4 NAT needed

PacN@G

Issues with Address/Protocol Translation

- Tracking users
 - Who used which address and when?
- Security
 - False sense of security!
- Lawful Intercept
 - Legal requirements, records keeping
- "Fate sharing"
 - One bad user affects everyone sharing the same public IPv4 address
- Etc etc



IPv6-only network Challenges

- Apart from accessing IPv4-only content...
- Routing protocols require "router-id"
 - "Router-id" 32-bit integer generated from IPv4 address on router
 - ⇒ IPv6-only network requires manually created router-id
- WindowsXP only supports IPv4 resolver, even in IPv6-only environments
 - No support in older Windows → Windows 7 upgrade → hardware upgrade



IPv6-only network challenges

- Serverless autoconfiguration versus DHCPv6
 - Linux/FreeBSD, Windows, MacOS?
- Consumer aggregation/access
 - Do L2 devices support IPv6 protocol?
 - Could impact modems for Satellite, DSL,
 Cable, Wireless and WiMAX
- Virus/Worm scanners for email
 - Do they recognise embedded IPv6 addresses?



Moving forwards

- CERNET in China have been running IPv6only network for a few years now
 - Using IVI as the "translation" or mapping system between IPv6 and IPv4

meetings.apnic.net/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/30992/Xing-Li-CERNET2-IPv6-experience-2011-v2.pdf

- Would running IPv6-only make sense for your organisation?
- Are there other issues not covered here?
 - Probably yes!